

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/205,07	7 12/05/98	MAKUCH		[Y]			
			\neg		EXAMINER		
		LM02/0912	•	. 714	12		

BENJAMIN C HUANG BROBECK PHLEGER & HARRISON 301 CONGRESS AVENUE SUITE 1200 ...

AUSTIN TX 78701

LIM,K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2758

DATE MAILED:

09/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

,	Application No. 09/205, 077	Applicant(s) MA k	404	et	al.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner KRISNP	Lim	Group Art l	Jnit			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orresponder	ice add	tress		
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILI	NG DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status 	oly within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) m the mailing dat	days will be co	nsidered unication	i timely.		
Status ,							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- 198						
☐ This action is FINAL .	<i>,</i> .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits i	s clos	ed in		
Disposition of Claims	,						
**************************************	is/are	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)							
↑ Claim(s) / - 20	is/are	is/are rejected.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are objected to.					
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•					
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing							
The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapprove	ed.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	led to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a))-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t							
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest 							
*Certified copies not received:			•				
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)	Interview Sum	mary, PTO-4	13			
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 🗆	Other					
Office	Action Summary						

Application/Control Number: 09/205,077 Page 2

Art Unit: 2758

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

- 2. The title of the invention is neither descriptive nor precise. A new title is required which should include, using twenty words or fewer, claimed features that differentiate the invention from the Prior Art. The title should reflect the gist of or the improvement of the present invention.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because they are objected to as is indicated in the PTO-FORM 94.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Barrett et al. [U.S. Patent No. 5,727,129].

Application/Control Number: 09/205,077 Page 3

Art Unit: 2758

7. <u>Barrett et al.</u> disclosed (e.g., see Figs. 2, 5A to 6C) the invention substantially as claimed. Taking claims 1-10 as exemplary claims, the reference disclosed a method of customizing a web site operating on a server computer, comprising the steps of:

- a) tracking visitor interests in the content of the web site [e.g., see an abstract, Figs. 1, 3-5 and 8];
 - b) generating a specific visitor profile [e.g., see items 2-23 of Fig. 3];
 - c) generating a pre-customized displays [e.g., see an abstract, Fig. 7];
 - d) caching the set of pre-customized display [e.g., see items 2-23 of Fig. 3]
- e) displaying the pre-customized display to the visitor [e.g., see an abstract, Figs. 1, 3-5, 8]; and
 - f) analyzing the visitor profile [e.g., see items 24-38 of Fig. 4, Fig. 5].
- 8. Barrett et al. did not explicitly detail the use of multiple servers to operate in parallel in order to store the user profile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that such parallel operation by multiple devices are known in the art.

Barrett et al. did not explicitly prioritize the categories in the visitor profile in order to analyze the visitor profile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that such specific function would have been a matter of programming choice.

- 9. Claims 11-20 are similar in scope as of claims 1-10, and therefore claims 11-20 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claims 1-10.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/205,077

Art Unit: 2758

The references are cited in the Form PTO-892 for the applicant's review.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. examiner should be directed to **Krisna Lim** whose telephone number is (703) 305-9672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Mr. Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The formal fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9052. The informal fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-5357.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahmad.matar@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communication will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirement of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Office Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

kΙ

September 10, 2000

KRISNA LIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER